Committee: Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC)

Issue: Enhancing Workers' Rights and Social Welfare in the Gig Economy

Student Officer: Lee Soomin

Introduction

Amidst an era of revolutionary technological change and accelerated digitalization, the world's labor market has experienced tectonic reconfiguration. At the center of this shift is the proliferation of the gig economy, an economic order characterized by short-term, flexible, and freelance employment contracts facilitated by digital platforms such as Uber, Deliveroo, Upwork, and Airbnb. These platforms have fundamentally changed traditional employment relationships by providing unparalleled flexibility for workers and business operational effectiveness. Particularly since the financial crisis of 2008, and further boosted by the COVID-19 pandemic, gig work has rapidly shifted from the periphery to the center, affecting developing and mature economies significantly.

The gig economy has created tremendous opportunities, enabling millions of workers, especially young people, women, and previously underserved populations, to access income-generating opportunities that were previously unavailable.

Yet these gains come with high costs and raise human rights concerns about workers' rights, job security, social protection, and economic equity. Platform work(jobs brokered online by platforms like ride-hailing, delivery, or gig freelance apps, where workers are frequently classified as independent contractors rather than employees). too often manipulates traditional employment relations, with gig workers too often being classed as independent contractors rather than employees. This misclassification too often excludes workers from basic protections such as minimum wages, unemployment insurance, health care, and pension schemes. These precarious work arrangements make gig workers highly vulnerable to economic or personal crises, as savagely illustrated by the COVID-19 pandemic, in which gig workers across the globe suffered drastic reductions in income and greater exposure to health risks with insufficient safety nets.

The paradoxes and intricacies of the gig economy have provoked contemplative discussions among international organizations, policymakers, and labor unions about the role of regulatory policy and social policy. These discussions underscore a fundamental trade-off between fostering innovation and flexibility—critical drivers of economic growth and competitiveness—and safeguarding labor rights and social justice. The task of the international community, then, is to balance policies and governance structures carefully.

Resolving these multifaceted challenges requires concerted actions by governments, social partners, and international organizations. Effective solutions must involve decent work classification, robust social protection systems, and transparent governance of algorithmic management systems. Lastly, while the gig economy is growing, consistent, comprehensive, and forward-looking policies are needed urgently to ensure that innovation and economic flexibility do not undermine fundamental labor standards, but enhance them instead, creating a fair and sustainable future of work.

Definition of Key Terms

I. Gig Economy

The gig economy is a market where there are plenty of short-term, on-demand, and freelance work arrangements obtained primarily through digital platforms and mobile apps. Classic examples include companies like Uber, Deliveroo, Airbnb, and Upwork, connecting workers directly with consumers or businesses.

II. The International Labour Organization (ILO)

The International Labour Organization (ILO) is a specialized United Nations agency whose mission is to promote labor rights, social protection, and decent work opportunities for all people throughout the world. The ILO develops labor standards, policies, and programs that aim at improving working conditions worldwide.

III. Platform Work

Platform work is organized, mediated, or coordinated labor through online platforms. Workers typically work on demand, with no definite working hours, steady wage, or fixed terms of employment. Platform work involves both local jobs, such as deliveries and ride-sharing, as well as international jobs such as freelance writing and graphic design.

IV. Algorithmic Management

Algorithmic management is the use of computerized, fact-based decision methods by digital platforms to arrange, track, command, and assess gig workers. Algorithmic management is often not visible and therefore can produce power imbalances and reduced autonomy for workers over task allocation, performance grading, and pay determinations.

V. Portable Benefits

Portable benefits are social protections such as health coverage, retirement savings, and unemployment insurance that can be saved and transferred by workers across several employers or platforms. The frameworks of these benefits offer continuous coverage regardless of employment status or the number of employers a worker deals with, thereby making them perfectly suited for gig workers.

History

The gig economy, further defined by on-demand, flexible labor brokered through digital platforms, began gaining momentum in the aftermath of the 2008 global financial crisis. With shrinking traditional employment markets and rampant unemployment compelling many to seek alternative sources of work, many leveraged platforms such as Uber (released in 2009), Airbnb, and TaskRabbit to supplement their wages or work full-time as freelancers. These sites capitalized on growing digital infrastructure and a surplus of underemployed labor, offering a model of employment that promised autonomy, flexibility, and entrepreneurship. Governments and customers largely welcomed the gig economy when it first began because of its potential for innovation and access to services, particularly in urban areas.

But as the sector matured, so did its ills. Classifying gig workers as independent contractors rather than employees shut out most of them from labor protections like minimum wage, overtime pay, employer-provided health insurance, and collective bargaining rights. The structural insecurity of gig work deepened over time, where workers face algorithmic management, inconsistent scheduling, and unilateral deactivation without recourse.

The COVID-19 pandemic in 2020 was a stress test for the gig economy. On the positive side, platform employment served as a vital lifeline during lockdowns—food delivery, transport, and online freelance work saw a surge in demand. Conversely, the crisis exposed stark social protection gaps for gig workers. Half a million were exposed to potential income loss or health risk exposure without unemployment insurance, paid sick leave, or protective equipment. In 2021, an ILO report showed that globally, only slightly more than 40% of platform workers had some form of health coverage and fewer than 15% were covered for workplace injuries or job loss.

This instigated a charged international debate around retrofitting labor rights frameworks in the platform economy. Others reacted by enacting legal reforms around gig economy work. Spain legislated Law 12/2021, which assumes algorithmically run app-based food delivery couriers are employees if not shown otherwise. This was among the most progressive legal reforms in the EU around platform workers.

Similarly in America, California passed Assembly Bill 5 (AB5) in 2019, enacting the stricter "ABC test" of the 2018 Dynamex Supreme Court decision. It placed the onus on companies to prove that workers are independent contractors—a measure intended to extend benefits to more gig workers.

But gig businesses have pushed back against these reforms, asserting that strict categorizations stifle flexibility and innovation. Some businesses have in turn lobbied for exemptions or proposed alternative structures like portable benefits. The debate about how best to ensure economic innovation with protection for workers persists, with international organizations like the ILO and OECD calling for portable benefit schemes, algorithmic transparency, and collective rights extended to gig workers.

The gig economy is at a turning point today. Although it certainly has reshaped the organization, consumption, and valuation of work in the digital age, whether its long-term viability is guaranteed depends on matching economic efficiency with fairness, dignity, and adequate social safeguards. The fate of work for millions of people hangs in the balance of how the world answers these questions.or example, such cases as Dynamex Operations West, Inc. v. Superior Court in California (2018) have brought to the fore how the courts grapple with deciding whether gig workers are employees or independent contractors. Likewise, the European Union has also discussed platform worker rights, where there have been suggestions that some gig workers be reclassified to allow access to benefits such as minimum wage and social security. Gig economy platforms such as Deliveroo and Uber have a tendency of classifying employees as independent contractors and external labor rights such as guarantees for a minimum wage, overtime, and social security.

Key Issues

Legal Uncertainty and Classification of Workers

The principal issue in the gig economy is legal uncertainty in worker classification. The majority of platforms classify their workers as independent contractors rather than employees, thus denying them labor rights like protections of minimum wages, overtime, and access to social security. For example, in the United States, the California Supreme Court's Dynamex decision (2018) and its subsequent Assembly Bill 5 (AB5) were introduced to rectify such misclassifications by establishing stricter tests for independent contractor classification. Similarly, Spain's Law 12/2021 deems food delivery riders to be employees when they are managed algorithmically, reflecting global efforts to reduce such uncertainties. Misclassification deprives gig workers of fundamental rights, placing them in vulnerable financial and legal situations, especially in times of economic recessions or public health emergencies.

Lack of Social Protection and Welfare Access

Gig workers everywhere are facing deep gaps in social protection, especially in developing countries. The coverage of health insurance is low, with less than half of gig workers covered globally, and fewer than 15% have unemployment or work-injury insurance. The lack of robust social safety nets, such as pensions and health benefits, leaves workers extremely vulnerable during crises, as the COVID-19 pandemic drastically illustrated.

Algorithmic Control and Lack of Transparency

The conditions of employment and gig workers are increasingly determined by algorithmic management systems, which dictate the assignment of work, payment rates, and even account suspension. These systems operate in obscurity with no accountability, subjecting workers to arbitrary decisions, prejudice, and exploitation. The obscurity of such technologies narrows workers' autonomy and ability to resist discrimination.

Economic Insecurity and Income Instability

Gig workers are also typically subjected to unpredictable and irregular revenues as they tend not to have guaranteed working hours or fixed wages. According to a 2021 Pew Research Center study, 29% of the gig workers reported being paid below the federal minimum wage, according to a 2021 Pew Research Center poll, and gig workers are paid on average 58% less per hour than full-time employees with similar qualifications. This unpredictability also inhibits stable residence, money planning, and credit inclusion, thereby exposing them to sliding into poverty or chronic economic disadvantage.

Limited Collective Representation and Bargaining Power

Gig workers usually do not have the organizational resources and bargaining power of regular employees because of their diffuse and digitally mediated working conditions. The traditional union framework finds it difficult to accommodate the transitory and fragmented characteristics of gig workers, hence curbing workers' capacity to organize effectively, negotiate more favorable terms, or advocate for better working conditions and protections.

Major Parties Involved and Their Views

Nations:

United States

The tremendous growth in gig work has taken place in the United States, driven by dominant platforms such as Uber, Lyft, and DoorDash. While gig platforms have expanded economic opportunities, particularly since the 2008 financial crisis, regulatory tensions have ensued, leading to bills like California's Assembly Bill 5 (AB5). The legislation aimed to extend employee rights to gig workers but has faced intense opposition from platform companies, mirroring the nation's longstanding internal debate over the classification of workers and worker protections in the gig economy.

Spain

Spain led the regulation of the gig economy by passing Law 12/2021, which stipulates the presumption of employee and not independent contractor status of platform delivery workers unless proven otherwise. Spain's move is Europe's strongest in regulation and demonstrates unequivocal intent to enforce labor rights, improve working conditions, and be a model for other EU nations grappling with similar challenges.

China

The gig economy in China has grown explosively, specifically in ride-sharing, food delivery, and e-commerce services through Didi and Meituan. While recognizing the gig sector as a significant area of work, especially among urban youth, China is cautious against over-regulation. Instead, the government places greater weight on overall economic stability and platform responsibility, with a fine balance between innovation, economic growth, and social stability.

International Organizations:

International Labour Organization (ILO)

The ILO is leading the charge in fighting for improved terms and conditions for gig workers globally. The organization advocates for unambiguous legal classifications, robust social protection mechanisms, and increased transparency of algorithmic management. Its Employment Relationship Recommendation No. 198 is one of the most important international instruments that guides member states on how to approach disguised employment relationships prevalent in the gig economy.

Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD)

The OECD has extensively explored and debated gig economy trends, incessantly calling for social protection that is inclusive and regulatory coherence to guarantee equitability as well as fairness. The OECD encourages policies that balance economic flexibility and innovation with strong labor protection, embracing portable schemes of benefits as well as equitable worker classification to address gig workers' exposures.

European Union (EU)

The EU has taken a proactive approach to the regulation of gig economies, specifically through directives mandating clear employment categorizations and advancing protections for workers between member nations. The EU proposed a directive on improving working conditions in platform work that seeks to establish presumptions of employment status under law and mandate greater transparency and equity within platform algorithms, following the lead of Europe's attempts at harmonizing regulations while protecting labor standards.

Timeline of Relevant Resolutions, Treaties and Events

Date	**Description of event**
2008	The global financial crisis triggers the hyper-growth of the gig economy as unemployment and underemployment drive workers into flexible, on-demand work brokered by digital platforms like Uber and TaskRabbit.
2016	The ILO publishes its report Non-standard employment around the world: Understanding Challenges, Shaping Prospects, urging regulatory Reforms to cover new forms of Work like gig and platform work.
2018	The California Supreme Court issued the Dynamex Operations West, Inc. v. Superior Court ruling, adopting the ABC test for determining workers and making it more difficult for companies to classify gig workers as independent contractors.
2019	California enacted Assembly Bill 5 (AB5), codifying the Dynamex ruling's ABC test and placing many gig workers under employee status. Gig firms like Uber and Lyft quickly resisted the legislation.

2020	G20 publishes Policy Options for Adapting Social Protection to Reflect the Changing Patterns of Work, urging universal and inclusive protection systems for self-employed and platform workers.
2020	COVID-19 crisis exposes gig workers' exclusion from social protection. Platform services like delivery are in greater demand, but workers face unsafe conditions, income loss, and no unemployment or health benefits.
2021	Spain adopts Law 12/2021, establishing a presumption of employment for food delivery platform workers subject to algorithmic control. It is among the first national European legislations to address gig work classification explicitly.
2021	The European Commission issues a proposal for a directive on improving working conditions in platform work. It involves a legal presumption of employment and demands algorithmic transparency and data access for workers.
2022	Belgium has EU directive-following legislation that contains presumptions where gig workers are considered employees unless there is evidence to the contrary. This is all part of a growing EU-wide push for harmonized gig economy regulation.

Evaluation of Previous Attempts to Resolve the Issue

Moves to address problems concerning the rights and social well-being of gig workers have been made at multiple levels of government, with varying degrees of success. At the global level, pivotal institutions such as the International Labour Organization (ILO), the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), and the G20 have drawn attention to the imperative of closing gaps in social protection among gig workers. The G20's Policy Options for Adapting Social Protection to Capture the Evolving Nature of Work (2020) underlined the critical importance of accurate classification of workers, with inclusive structures that ensure social protection for self-employed workers whose activities are organised through digital platforms. Similarly, the ILO's Employment Relationship Recommendation No. 198 itself encourages clearer definitions of employment relationships and gives member states strict regulatory measures that can keep disguised employment, a prevalent phenomenon in platform and gig work, at bay.

Globally, several jurisdictions have taken legislative measures to reclassify gig workers in a bid to enhance their access to traditional labor protections. Spain's Law 12/2021 also inserted a presumption that algorithmically-controlled delivery workers should be interpreted as employees unless platforms can successfully establish otherwise. In the US, California enacted Assembly Bill No. 5 (AB5), which shifted the onus to platforms to establish that gig workers are not employees, thus extending coverage of labor rights. Apart from regulatory solutions, efforts have been made to strengthen collective bargaining rights and organizational representation for gig workers.

Labor unions in several nations have sought litigation and lobbying to reclassify employees and obtain work benefits. Though such global and local efforts signify genuine strides toward recognizing and safeguarding gig workers' rights, there are vast enforcement gaps and regulatory ambiguities. Employment solutions will require further harmonization among national legal frameworks, transparent governance of platform algorithms, and more adaptable social protection arrangements that can more effectively capture the unique vulnerabilities and work patterns of gig workers.

Possible Solutions

Solving the issues of gig workers requires a multi-pronged strategy with legal change, extending social protection, and strict regulation of digital platform practices. Governments must make prioritizing the setting up of clear and enforceable worker classification regimes a task, where the same labor rights are extended to gig workers as to regular employees whenever they qualify as dependent workers. This means guaranteeing minimum wage protections, overtime pay, and social security benefits. Furthermore, the application of portable benefit systems must be enforced, which will enable gig workers to build up pension rights, health insurance, and unemployment benefits across platforms and contracts without interruption.

Harsher enforcement mechanisms must accompany these reforms in a bid to ensure compliance with labor laws, such as working time regulation, occupational health and safety, and algorithmic responsibility. Platforms must be made transparent by governments and regulators regarding how assignments are made to tasks, how payments are calculated, and how performance is reviewed. An example is Spain's Law 12/2021, which requires disclosure of algorithms' logic to workers—a standard that the entire world could emulate. In addition, encouraging digital unionization and collective bargaining via web media and worker councils of the platform can help to counterbalance the power asymmetry between workers and firms.

Because of the cross-border character of the platform work, international cooperation is the need of the hour. Organizations such as the International Labour Organization (ILO) and the OECD have already sounded the call for worldwide standards for the fair treatment of gig workers. Coordinated effort by governments, international bodies, and civil society can lead to harmonized policies that uphold the dignity of workers and support innovation. Mechanisms for surveillance and regular audits of platforms can also enforce labour protections and prevent algorithmic management from taking advantage of workers.

Bibliography

1. International Labour Organization. (2021). *World Employment and Social Outlook: The role of digital labour platforms in transforming the world of work.* Geneva: ILO. https://www.ilo.org/wesodata
2. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2021). *The role of digital platforms in the gig economy.* OECD Publishing. https://www.oecd.org/digital/gig-economy/
3. Johnston, H., & Land-Kazlauskas, C. (2019). *Organizing on-demand: Representation, voice, and collective bargaining in the gig economy.* International Labour Office. https://www.ilo.org/travail
4. Zeid, R., Alrayess, D., Ajwad, M. I., Soytas, M. A., & Rivera, N. (2020). *The gig economy and the future of work: Global trends and policy directions for non-standard forms of employment.* World Bank. https://documents.worldbank.org
5. Au-Yeung, T. C., Chan, C. K., Ming, C. K. K., & Tsui, W. Y. A. (2024). The gig economy, platform work, and social policy: Food delivery workers' occupational welfare dilemma in Hong Kong. *Journal of Social Policy, 54*(4), 673–691. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0047279423000673